Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,498	MUI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) Roy M. Punnoose.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Alan Taboada (Reg. No.51,359).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 1		
Claims discussed: Claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Ap)		are signature in appropriate,

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Attorney Alan Taboada (Reg, No.51,359) of certain deficiencies in the drawings, specification and claim(s) on August 28, 2006. However, a response to correct all the deficiencies has not been received, which is the subject of this office action..